15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

REED SMITH LLP

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Compl. Filed: October 19, 2007

Trial Date: None Set

Honorable William H. Alsup

On December 3, 2007, defendant Medtronic, Inc. filed a motion to stay proceedings pending
a decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on pending MDL motions. Under Local
Rule 7-3, plaintiff's opposition or statement of non-opposition was due by December 20, 2007.
Plaintiff did not file an opposition. Failure to file an opposition may be deemed consent to granting
of the motion. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (district court properly granted
motion to dismiss when plaintiff did not file an opposition); Candler v. Woodford, 2007 U.S. Dist.
LEXIS 83988, *29 (D. Cal. 2007) (cautioning plaintiff that failure to respond to defendants' motion
for summary judgment may be deemed to be a consent by plaintiff of granting of the motion).

In light of plaintiff's decision not to file an opposition, Medtronic respectfully requests that the Court stay all proceedings based on the arguments contained in Medtronic's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of the Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on Pending Motions for Transfer.

.DATED: December 21, 2007

## REED SMITH LLP

/s/ Dana A. Blanton Sonja S. Weissman Dana A. Blanton Attorneys for Defendant Medtronic, Inc.